	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/607,937	KAVOSH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Louis Falasco	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) Louis Falasco.	(3)	
(2) <u>Lewis Nunnelley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 May 2004	Time: <u>11:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: McGraw-Hill Science dictionary definition of near infrared.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Low \$//1		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

To clearify what wavelegths were encompased by the terminology "near-IR" appearing in the claims, applicants' representitive pointed to the definition in the McGraw-Hill Science dictionary. It was convincently pointing out that the wavelegths encompased by the "near-IR" was established in the art, evident from the dictionary definition.